THIRD PARTY PAYOR METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DISTRIBUTING FINANCIAL BENEFITS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. provisional application number 60/203,730, filed May 12, 2000, which is incorporated herein by reference.

TECHNICAL FIELD

5

10

15

20

25

This invention relates generally to the field of business methods and more particularly to methods of providing a benefit from a benefit provider, such as an asset management entity, to an entity such as a client, while also providing a service to the client, such as a legal service, from a separate service provider, where the service provider is compensated by the benefit provider under a third-party payor method that complies with the ethical and statutory rules governing the conduct of the service provider.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Benefit management is an important component to successful maintenance and transmission of wealth, especially wealth accumulated by individuals. A benefit includes anything of value, such as an asset or investment that is provided to a person (or designee of the person) for which the person is willing to pay a fee to obtain or manage. Wealth is typically managed by being distributed into a variety of benefits with the help of the services provided by one or more professional entities. A person that uses such services becomes a client of each entity providing a service. Clients traditionally have relied on legal services provided by attorneys to help implement a wealth management or estate planning plan. The attorney's service to the client is a counseling relationship that takes into consideration the client's personal goals, the tax consequences and inter relationship between various investments and instruments viewed in light of a variety of legal issues that can affect realization of the individual's goal. Attorneys usually become trusted advisers of their clients because unlike other service professionals, an attorney is privy to a multitude of personal confidences of the client. In providing wealth planning services, the attorney might refer the client to the

10

15

20

25

30

services of benefit providers, such as accountants or asset managers, who are authorized to render services related to financial matters, or to other benefit providers such as a securities broker, a banking institution or other entity authorized to provide specific types of financial vehicles related to specific classes of benefits. Typically, these other entities are not able to provide (or are prevented by governmental rules from providing) advice that is strictly legal in nature. Moreover, certain instruments for conveying assets, such as wills, trusts and estates, are legal in nature, and cannot be prepared by the benefit provider although such instruments may include benefits that are managed by the same.

The traditional business model for distributing benefits and legal services to a client may be designated the independent referral model, which is illustrated in Figure 1A. A client 100 establishes a relationship with an attorney 110 who provides legal services 115 to the client in return for a fee 118. In the case of wealth management services, the attorney 110 would typically recommend that the client 100 retain an entity to provide a benefit, such as asset management, 125 and would often make specific referrals 130 to use the services of a particular benefit provider/asset manager 120. The client 100 would then establish an independent relationship with the benefit provider 120 whose services include, for example, providing and/or managing an asset 125 for the client, so that the client 100 receives a benefit 126 resulting from the management. The benefit provider 120 performs these services in return for another fee 128. Typically, fees 128 charged by the benefit provider are paid by the client on a periodic basis, for example, monthly, quarterly or annually, with the amount of the fee often being proportionate to the value of the benefit provided or asset managed. Thus, the benefit provider 120 obtains an ongoing revenue stream from the client 100 based on the value of the benefit or asset for as long as the benefit or asset is managed by the benefit provider 120.

In contrast, the attorney 110, who is often principally responsible for designing the overall wealth planning plan for the client 100, might only receive the initial fee 118 for rendering the initial planning services 115. The rules governing the conduct of attorneys in most jurisdictions prevent attorneys from receiving fees from the benefit provider 120 or from the client 100 for making the referral. Accordingly, the benefit provider 120 receives a continuous, and potentially large revenue stream 128 that

10

15

20

25

30

originated from the expertise in wealth planning initially provided by the attorney 110 as well as the benefit of the referral by the attorney. In addition, the client 100 is required to pay two separate fees (118 and 128) to obtain the legal services 115 of the attorney 110 and the benefit 125 from the benefit provider 120. Therefore, in this referral model, the role played by the attorney 110 provides a long-term financial benefit to both to the client 100 and the benefit provider 120. Although the client 100 pays the fee 118 for this service 115, the benefit provider 120 receives the benefit of the referral 130 from the attorney 110 free-of-charge.

A second model for providing a benefit to a client 100 using the expertise of an attorney 110 is the attorney-employee model currently practiced by several accounting and brokerage firms, which is illustrated in Figure 1B. In this model, the benefit provider 120 hires attorney-employees 123 to provide similar services 113 to the client 100 as the services 115 provided by an independent attorney 110 in designing a plan to manage the client's wealth. The attorney serving in this capacity is a representative of the benefit provider and not the client. In using the services 113 of the employee attorney 123, the client 100 loses the benefit of having an independent counselor. Also, the attorney-employee model shown in Figure 1B produces competition between the benefit provider 120 and independent attorneys 110, particularly in the field of wealth planning services. Yet it may be in the best interest of the client 100 for the attorney 110 to refer the client 100 to the benefit provider 120. In addition, the accounting firm or other benefit provider 120 charges fees for both planning services for and providing or managing the client's benefits, which the independent attorney is prevented from doing when the management of benefits or assets is provided by another party. This makes it difficult for independent attorneys to be compensated on par with those that provide essentially identical services but who are not under an obligation that prevents them from accepting fees for providing the dual services of planning and management.

A third model designed to bring the professional services of a benefit provider 120 and an attorney 147 into one business is the Multi-Disciplinary Practice (MDP) 140, which is illustrated in Figure 1C. The MDP 140 is a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity formed between a benefit provider 120, an attorney 147 or group of attorneys, or other professionals such as accountants 150. The client 100 can ostensibly obtain a suite of professional services from each of the separate disciplines associated

with the MDP 140 in exchange for a fee 145 that includes the separate fees 144 from each service provided. Each of the professional services provided by the MDP 140 is governed by the ethical and statutory requirements for that discipline. The potential attraction of the MDP 140 is that any one service provider 147, 120 or 150 operating within the MDP 140 may refer clients to another service provider within the MDP 140 while the overall profits of the MDP 140 are shared between various disciplines. The MDP model, however, has numerous problems that make it impractical and/or otherwise undesirable. Currently, few jurisdictions permit attorneys to form business partnerships with non-attorneys, so MDPs 140 cannot be formed in many places. Where such practices can be formed, they face strict structural and regulatory rules that increase liability and the cost of providing services. Also, to be competitive, MDPs require a certain "critical mass" of professional service providers to form the entity. Obtaining such a critical mass is impractical for smaller law firms, banks and accounting firms that serve many communities in the United States.

A fourth model for distributing legal services and benefits to clients is the multiply-licensed professional model, which is illustrated in Figure 1D. This model include a multiply-licensed professional 160 who is a professional licensed to practice in one discipline that also obtains a separate license to practice in a different discipline. For example, an attorney may obtain a real estate license, a securities broker's license, an insurance license or the like. Each professional service is independent of the other services although a single person is licensed to practice in each discipline. The multiply-licensed professional 150 is separately subject to the ethical rules governing each discipline. These ethical rules may at times conflict. They also might require the multiply-licensed professional 150 to create two or more separate entities and may prohibit sharing space, advertising costs or administrative personnel between entities. It is often more desirable for an attorney to close his or her legal practice altogether rather than risk violating the ethical rules of professional conduct when operating under separate professional licenses. Again, this model is not desirable to many attorneys that prefer to continue the practice of law.

Each of the foregoing business models may fail to provide satisfactory results for a large number of clients and attorneys. Clients may not have the ability to use their existing attorney as a counselor to manage the client's benefits without having to pay

30

5

10

15

20

25

15

20

25

30

additional fees. There maybe little or no oversight of the benefits being managed by the benefit provider when there is no independent attorney overseeing the benefits under management. In addition, many attorneys, especially those that are skilled in wealth planning services are faced with the "if you can't beat them join them" dilemma of having to give up their existing legal practices and enroll with a benefit provider if the attorney cannot compete economically with other benefit providers providing similar wealth planning services. A new business model is needed to overcome these problems.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

There is a need in the art to provide a method that allows attorneys (or other professional entity under an obligation to not accept fees for referral services) to continue to provide services related to a benefit provided to their clients, and to be compensated for those services while the actual provision or management of the benefit is referred to another entity.

Provided herein are methods and systems to provide a benefit to a client under third party payor compensation system where the client uses at least two types of services to obtain a benefit and pays a single fee for the distinct types of services in compliance with an ethical rule, license requirement or governmental statute governing the conduct of the entity being compensated by the third party. In one embodiment, the method includes establishing a first relationship between a client and an the attorney, the attorney providing legal services to the client concerning the client's benefits and being under an obligation to not accept fees for referring the client to a benefit provider. A second relationship is established between the client and a benefit provider, the benefit provider charging a fee to the client to manage the assets of the client. A third relationship is established between the benefit provider and the attorney, the attorney referring the client to the benefit provider and the benefit provider compensating the attorney for legal services provided to the client, the compensation received by the attorney being in compliance with rules governing the ethics of the practice of law. In preferred embodiments, the benefit is an asset or asset management service and the benefit provider is a bank, trust company, broker or other financial services entity.

10

15

20

25

The method is generally applicable to providing services and benefits to any entity seeking a service and a benefit and is applicable to service provider entities other than attorneys, and to benefits other than asset management. In a more general embodiment, the method includes a first entity providing a first type of service to a second entity, the first entity being able to accept fees for the first type of service but under an obligation not to accept fees for providing a first benefit to the second entity and/or for making a referral to a third entity that provides the first benefit to the second entity. The second entity may be a client, customer or other entity seeking services and benefits. The first entity may be any entity that provides the first type of service. The third entity may be any entity that provides the first benefit sought by the second entity. The method also includes a third entity providing the first benefit for the second entity, the third entity being able to accept fees from the second entity for providing the first benefit. The method still further includes providing a third entity that compensates the first entity for the first type of service the first entity provides to the second entity from fees the third entity charges the second entity for providing the first benefit. In certain embodiments, the first entity may refer the second entity to the third entity. In yet other embodiments. the third entity may refer the first entity to the second entity. In any case, the third party payor system establishes a set of relationships between the entities, the services and the benefits provided in a manner that complies with the ethical obligations of the entities being compensated.

Also provided are document and electronically based systems for establishing the relationships necessary to provide the third-party payor method and for distributing the benefits and services. These and other embodiments of the invention may be more fully understood by reference the following detailed description.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1A is a schematic diagram that illustrates an independent referral method of the prior art for providing benefits and legal services to a client.

Figure 1B is a schematic diagram that illustrates an attorney-employee method of the prior art.

÷ *

5

10

15

20

25

Figure 1C is a schematic diagram that illustrates a Multi-Disciplinary-Practice method of the prior art.

Figure 1D is a schematic diagram that illustrates a multiply-licensed professional method of the prior art.

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram that illustrates one embodiment of a third party compensation method provided herein.

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram that illustrates a computer based embodiment of the method of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As mentioned in the foregoing summary, the methods and systems provided herein are applicable to a variety of relationships between a client, a first entity that provides a first service for the client, and a third-party entity that provides or manages a benefit of the client. To better aid in understanding the invention, the following detailed description is made with reference to embodiments where first entity providing the first service is an attorney providing a legal services, particularly wealth planning services (e.g. estate planning), and the third-party entity, the benefit provider, is a banking entity that provides investment vehicles and related advisory services for managing the assets of a client. However, it will be understood that this embodiment is for example purposes only, and that the method and systems provided herein can be applied to a wide variety of three party relationships.

Figure 2 illustrates various features of this embodiment. One or more clients 200 enter into a relationship A with an attorney 210. The attorney client relationship A is an independent relationship between the client 200 and the attorney 210. The client 200 selects the attorney 210 to provide legal advice and usually has a preexisting relationship with he attorney 210. This relationship involves a two-way exchange of information concerning the client's personal and financial needs and legal services to aid in fulfilling those needs. In a preferred practice, the legal services provided by the attorney 210 relate to managing the client's wealth in an estate planning practice. As part of the service, the attorney 210 makes recommendations, reviews documents, prepares legal instruments and otherwise devises a plan to fulfill the particular needs of the client 200.

30

10

15

20

25

30

This first relationship between the attorney 210 and client 200 includes an engagement agreement that comports with the ethical rules governing attorney conduct, including a disclosure that the attorney 210 may recommend a particular benefit provider 220 to manage benefits on behalf of the client 200. A form of the engagement agreement may be provided as a document from the benefit provider 220. The engagement agreement discloses that the attorney 210 will provide ongoing counseling to the client 200 regarding the management of those client's benefits and that the fees for those ongoing services performed by the attorney 210 will be paid from the benefit provider 220 under a defined schedule. The agreement specifies that the services provided by the attorney 210 will remain confidential between the attorney 210 and the client 200, unless disclosure is consented to by the client 200. The attorney 210 retains a duty of loyalty to the client 200 as required by the ethical rules governing the conduct of the attorneys. This includes the duty to represent the client's interest where it may depart from the interest of the benefit provider 220. If the client 200 elects to use the benefit provider 220 recommended by the attorney 210, the engagement agreement between the attorney 210 and client is filed with the benefit provider 220 to document that the first relationship has been established.

The second relationship B is established between the client 200 and the benefit provider 220 where information and services for managing the benefits provided to the client 200 are exchanged between these parties in return for a fee to be paid to the benefit provider 220. The type of benefits to be managed by the benefit provider 220 preferably includes those recommended by the attorney 210, but may include other benefits independently recommended by the benefit provider 220 in light of the plan devised between the attorney 210 and the client 200. For example, the attorney 210 might generally recommend benefits such as a trust fund, securities investment, a real estate investment, a cash asset account and the like. The benefit provider 220 in turn, might recommend a particular distribution of financial products into which to invest the funds of the trust, and/or recommends particular securities or mutual funds that comport with the plan. The client 200 may further consult with attorney 210 regarding the recommendations of the benefit provider 220. If the client 200 elects use the services of the benefit provider 220 these parties review, execute and file an account agreement with the benefit provider 220. The account agreement documents that the second

relationship has been established and an account is provided for the client 200 by the benefit provider 220 to manage the benefits to be provided. The client 200 and/or the benefit provider 220 notifies the attorney 210 that the second relationship has been established.

A third relationship C is then established between the benefit provider 220 and the attorney 210. This relationship includes a participation agreement that is produced, reviewed and executed between the attorney 210 and the benefit provider 220. This agreement typically provides that the attorney 210 will perform a minimum set of legal services to independently advise the client 200 about the benefits being managed by the benefit provider 220. The agreement also includes a compensation plan specifying that the attorney 210 will be compensated for the legal services provided to the client 200 under the terms of the engagement agreement between the client 200 and the attorney 210, the compensation for such services being provided from the fees charged to the client 200 by the benefit provider 220. The participation agreement documents that the third relationship has been established.

The benefit provider 220 preferably provides the attorney 210 with access to the client's benefit account to allow the attorney 210 to oversee the performance of the account and to properly advise the client 200. The benefit provider 220 may also provide the attorney 210 with information concerning the benefit management services available from the benefit provider 220. In addition, the benefit provider 220 may also provide the attorney 210 with proprietary information or other instructional materials related to serving the legal needs of clients 200.

It will be understood that the relationship between the attorney 210 and benefit provider 220 may differ from that described above. In the embodiment shown in Figure 2, the relationships A, B and C between the client 200, attorney 210, and benefit provider 220 are established and maintained through the creation of documents and exchange of information through communication channels 225 such as telephone, facsimile, in-person meetings and mail. In a preferred embodiment, the relationships A, B, C are established through electronic communication channels utilizing computer communication medium. Figure 3 illustrates this embodiment for providing benefits to a client 200 using the third party payor system. This embodiment, like the embodiment described in Figure 2 is based on establishing three independent relationships, i.e.,

15

20

25

30

between the client 200 and attorney 210, the client 200 and benefit provider 220, and the attorney 210 and the benefit provider 220. This embodiment is implemented by providing the necessary information for establishing the relationships on computer readable medium, preferably over a network such as the Internet or World Wide Web. In one practice of this embodiment, rather than providing hard-copy documents for establishing the relationships, the relationships are established by electronic communication. In a typical practice, an application service provider (ASP) provides the information on computer readable medium via a server computer. The client 200, the benefit provider 220 and the attorney 210 each communicate information by a carrier wave from local computers distributed at various places to one or more server computers.

In certain embodiments, the computer communication medium includes computer readable medium configured to provide information identifying the set of member attorneys that have established the third relationship with benefit provider 220. This information may be stored for example, in the form of a database that also includes information identifying the corresponding set of clients for each member attorney 210 that has established a relationship with the benefit provider 220. In still other embodiments the computer communications medium is further configured to provide secure account information regarding the client's account being managed by the benefit provider 220 for the client 200. In this practice, the account information is accessible to the client 200, the benefit provider 220 and the attorney 210. In addition, the ASP embodiments may further provide information to the client 200 and to the attorney 210 concerning services offered by the benefit provider 220 for managing the benefit of the client 200. Also, the ASP may transmit certain selected information to the attorney 210 such as, for example, instructional materials to aid the attorney 210 in providing legal services for the client 200.

The third party compensation method provided herein provides for the affiliation of a plurality of member attorneys 210 with a benefit provider 220. This membership of attorneys provides a network to distribute benefits provided by the benefit provider 220. An attorney becomes an affiliated member only if the attorney refers the service of the benefit provider 220 to at least one client 200 and the client 200 accepts the service provided. By becoming an affiliated member, the attorney 210 is

10

15

20

25

30

"enlisted" as part of a network of independent attorneys, each referring the benefit provider 220 to a client 200 and thereby distributing the benefits provided by the benefit provider 220. Because the network of member attorneys may be established using computer communications media provided over the Internet, a large benefit distribution system can be provided at a lower cost than the typical attorney-employee method or MDP method of the prior art. The benefit provider 220 can accordingly charge competitive fees for providing or managing the benefit while at the same time being able to compensate the member attorneys 210s for legal services provided to their clients.

The agreement between the attorney 210 and the benefit provider 220 may require that the attorney 210 provide a defined amount or type of legal services to the client 200 over a defined period of time. Typically, the defined amount of services is expressed in units of time while the defined type of services is expressed as typical attorney functions, for example reviewing the benefits under management by the benefit provider 220 in light of any changes in the client's goals, reviewing tax consequences and the like. While the amount or type of services may be specified, it remains in the sole discretion of the attorney 210 to determine the form and content of any service provided to the client 200. In any case, the attorney 210 is compensated only for providing legal services. The compensation is provided on a periodic basis, for example, annually or biannually. The compensation may include payment for the initial services, such as wealth planning services rendered to the client 200 prior to the client 200 having established a relationship with the benefit provider 220. Preferably, before any compensation is paid to the attorney 210, the attorney 210 submits a document certifying to the benefit provider 220 that legal services have been provided to the client 200 according to the engagement agreement. The client 200 also submits a document to the benefit provider 220 confirming that the attorney's certification is correct.

In certain embodiments, the fee charged by the benefit provider 220 for managing the client's benefits may be proportionate to the value of the benefit. In other embodiments the fee charged by the benefit provider 220 may be a fixed amount. In a preferred practice, the benefit provider 220 charges a fee that is competitive with the fees charged by other benefit providers 220. A fee of 2% per annum or less of value of the assets under management would be competitive in most situations. In one

SSATATES OSTATI

5

10

15

20

25

30

embodiment, a fee of 1.95% per annum is charged by the benefit provider 220. In another embodiment, where the benefits under management are of a high value, a lower rate may be negotiated between the client 200 and the benefit provider 220. In such circumstance, one of the services provided by the attorney 210 under the engagement agreement with the client 200 may be to negotiate with the benefit provider 220 to offer a lower fee to the client 200. This presents no conflict of interests so long as the compensation fee paid to the attorney 210 is not changed because that compensation has been previously authorized by the client 200 in the engagement agreement. In most embodiments, the fees will be paid to the attorney 210 from earnings on the benefit being managed, especially when the benefit is an investment. In other embodiments, the fees may be billed and paid apart from the earnings on the asset.

To reduce the likelihood of conflicts of interest that might arise if the relationship A between the attorney 210 and the client 200, or the relationship B between the client 200 and the benefit provider 220 should deteriorate, the third party payor method provided herein may be made contingent on maintaining these relationships. In this practice, the affiliation of each attorney member 210 with the benefit provider 220 is conditioned on there being at least one client 200 of the member attorney 210 with a benefit being managed by the benefit provider 220. In addition, continued management of a benefit by the benefit provider 220 is conditioned on the client 200 receiving legal services by an affiliated member attorney 210. If the attorney 210 should withdraw from providing services to the client 200 then the client 200 is required to transfer benefits being managed by the benefit provider 220 to another benefit provider selected by the client 200. Similarly, if the client 200 should withdraw all accounts being managed by the benefit provider 220, then the membership of the affiliated attorney 210 with the benefit provider 220 is also terminated with respect to These features help ensure that the attorney 210 will not be that client 200. compensated if the client 200 dissolves the relationship with the attorney 210 and that the client 200 is always represented by an attorney 210 with respect the benefits being managed.

In the method and systems described herein, the client 200 may retain an attorney 210 originally selected by the client 200 who is familiar with the client's overall personal and legal needs. The client 200 need not rely on the counsel of an

attorney employee of the benefits provider who's loyalties lie with the benefits provider. The client 200 preferably receives periodic counseling from the attorney 210 and does not incur additional fees when his or her own attorney 210 reviews the performance of the benefits provided from the benefits provider. The attorney 210 maintains his or her independence as client advisor and receives ongoing compensation for the legal services provided to the client 200 in compliance with the ethical rules governing attorneys. The benefit provider 220 obtains a method for distributing its benefits through a body of affiliated member attorneys 210 who can provide the wealth management expertise needed to adequately advise the clients. The independent body of attorneys are willing to recommend the benefit provider 220 to their own clients knowing that as attorneys, they will be able to provide ongoing oversight on behalf of their client's potentially changing goals and receive a reasonable fee for doing the same.

The third party compensation method provided herein is analogous to the role of a liability insurance company that serves as the third party payor to an attorney who represents the interest of the client in a matter covered by the terms of the insurance policy. In both cases the third party payor relationship comports with ethical rules because the services provided by the attorney 210 to the client are not put at risk by the third-party payor. However, the method provided herein differs from insurance in several respects. One difference is that in the present method, the client 200 receives periodic legal services without any triggering event. In contrast, services paid by insurers are not provided unless a triggering event occurs within the terms of the insurance policy. Another difference is that the legal services in the present method help manage a benefit of a client 200 rather than avoid (or minimize) a liability. In this respect, the third-party payor of the present invention has interests that are aligned with those of the client rather than being adverse thereto.

From the foregoing it will be appreciated that, although specific embodiments of the invention have been described herein for purposes of illustration, various modifications may be made without deviating from the spirit and scope of the invention. More specifically, although the embodiments described above have been described in the context of an attorney rendering legal services to a client and being compensated for those services by an entity who manages the benefit of the client, other arrangements are possible within the scope of the invention. The first type of service

10

15

20

may be any type of service provided by any entity able to provide that service. Examples include, but are not limited to, professional counseling or advising services such as business management services, investment services, accounting services, medical services, psychological services and the like. Still other types of services include employment services, architectural services, contractor services, repair services and the like. Example benefits include financial assets such as securities investments, mutual funds, various forms of banking accounts, real estate investments, cash accounts, insurance policies and the like. These may be included in fiduciary accounts, such as trusts, conservatorships and executorships. When the benefit involves a fiduciary account, the client may be the trustee of the account and the benefit provider may manage the account as an agent of the trustee. Alternatively, the benefit provider may be the fiduciary, i.e. the trustee, conservator, or executor of a fiduciary account where the client is the grantor. In still another embodiment, the client may be the beneficiary of a fiduciary account granted by another but managed by a benefit provider who serves as the trustee, conservator, executor or agent of the account. Still other benefits include interests in personal property, for example, investments in art or other collectible items. Other property benefits include leases on real or personal property, for example a building lease or lease on a vehicle such as boat, plane or automobile. Example entities providing such benefits include trust companies, securities brokers, mutual fund companies, accounting firms, as well as a entities such as real estate brokers, real property management firms, insurance companies and personal property dealerships. Accordingly, the invention is not limited except as by the following claims.